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ABSTRACT:
this writing aim for analyze certainty the laws of the investigative and investigative process are in harmony regulation the material nor the formal in context accident Then cross. this writing gives awareness How importance harmony law in paradigm, norm, understanding, and editorial or word terms to produce certainty law and reform law in payload regulation legislation. Research method use method study normative juridical with primary and secondary sources through studies literature in a manner descriptive analytical with approach legislation and approaches conceptual since and technique data analysis using descriptive analytical. Research results conclude that importance harmony and harmony paradigm, norm, understanding, editorial or inner terms every corresponding regulation, so must clear interpreted and accompanied addition renewal regarding position law in handling accident Then cross or incident other relevant. Addition the norm i.e., for example, no There is proof, no Enough evidence, or He is a victim, then must use position law as "Reporter / reported, victim (if victim), or person who died (if died)."
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INTRODUCTION
Indonesian National Police (POLRI) is all related matters with functions and institutions police in accordance with regulation legislation, then institution it also has function his in field maintenance security and order society, enforcement law, protection, shelter, and service to society. Police have task For organize task activity operational and construction ability in
framework implementation task police as well as build ability Republic of Indonesia National Police *casu quo* task the main thing is (Arif, 2021), including: a. Look after security and order society, b. enforce law, c. Give care, protection and service to the Community (Indonesia, 2003).

Police in payload Article 30 of the 1945 Constitution and Article 5 of Law no. 2 of 2002 concerning Police Republic of Indonesia (POLRI) established as a “state tool” for with objective For operate duties, principals, functions and authorities so that create something security domestic, security, order society, orderly and upright law, administration protection, shelter, service to public as well as built peace public with uphold high Human Rights Human (HAM) (Indonesia, 2006).

Inside theory state institutions according to Bagir Manan explained three type based state agency its functions, among others: a. State agencies that run function in a manner direct, such as the Presidential Institution, Power Judiciary, and DPR, b. Institutions that are state administration, c. Supporting State Institutions (*auxiliary organs*), meanwhile according to Jimmy Asshiddiqie that state institutions are divided by 2 (two), viz *First*, origin from facet The hierarchy consists of 3 (three) layers, *Second*, originate from function. If reviewed based on Its function is that POLRI is in position Supporters (*auxiliary organs*), meanwhile in context hierarchy, then POLRI is in the layer both in nature independent as well as get authority of the 1945 Constitution.

Even the police interpreted from its nature in accordance Law No. 48 of 2009 concerning Power Justice contained within Article 38 paragraph (1) which reads "In addition to the Supreme Court and the judicial bodies under it as well as Court Constitution, there are other bodies whose functions related with power justice, meaning the phrase “Other bodies” consists from Advocates, Prosecutors, Correctional Institutions, and the Police so that called as *Office Nobile.* position POLRI inside Power Justice emphasized in paragraph 2 of article *a quo* letter a related *investigation and investigation*. POLRI from facet functions, hierarchies and properties has grip as a Predictive, Responsible, Transparency Agency Justice (PRECISION), independent, independent, clean, authoritative, and free from influence other pressing powers Good physique nor psychic with objective solely For enforce *certainty, fairness and expediency law.*

POLRI has a role as investigators and investigators with objective For interest investigation as well as investigation, as poured in Article 1 paragraph 1-5 of the Criminal Procedure Code which outlines the status of officials police Republic of Indonesia or civil servant particular given authority specifically by law. Based on *Friedman’s theory* that law shared become three (3), ie substance law, structure law and culture law, then We put POLRI on structure form of law is Law Enforcement in the process of investigation and investigation To use actualize *principle legality* and search
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truth material in accordance principle law (Istinah, 2015).

As a result, POLRI has not quite enough vital moral and legal responsibilities for create atmosphere appropriate investigations with rule law, conform with obligation law, must right, come in sense, and respect right basic humans so they can produce level trust as well as satisfaction public. According to survey from the Indonesian Survey Institute (LSI) which recorded that the Level of Public Trust in the POLRI Institution is precisely that be on point Lowest compared to the Indonesian National Armed Forces (TNI) and the President (Istinah, 2015).

Hasya A Tallah (HA) is determined University of Indonesia students suspect by the police with pretext Because negligence yourself, sit down happened in Srengseng paddy fields, Jagakarsa, South Jakarta when HA want go home to boarding houses, vehicles HA drove with speed more less than 60 Km/Hour, all of a sudden There is the vehicle in front of you turn right so that he brakes suddenly and falls on the side right.

In the moment together There is Mitsubishi Pajero car is coming from direction opposite until hit and run over HA, as a result moment brought to House Sick He not helped (already died). Then, HA is set status as suspect by the police post get Notification Letter Progress of Investigation Results (SP2HP) and Letter of Termination Investigation (SP3) (Ulfah et al., 2017). In the end, even though the status of "suspect" was revoked by the Police and reinstated Name good even from party The police have too request sorry, but that should be underlined is a determination process as the suspect No worthy conducted by investigators, p here it is later day Of course will raises distrust public to institution internal supporting (auxiliary organs). finish his works in realm order and security society.

Image in the Big Indonesian Dictionary (KBBI) which means the image many people have about personal, company, organization or agencies, and products. Categorized image from element mutual image correlated between One The same another, ie there is image product, image performance, image source Power human (HR), image system, image culture so that whole This crystallize become an INSTITUTIONAL IMAGE.
First, product image interpreted as image by a product built to own evaluation positive in the eyes consumers; Second, performance image is something measuring image from success companies, institutions or organization moment operate his works; Third, the Image of Human Resources ( HR ) is involving image component professionalism, attitude, behavior, and morals; Fourth, System Image is resulting arrangement One unity so that includes, bureaucracy, procedures, administration, mechanisms work; Fifth, Cultural Image is something institution, organization or agency that has influence positive from the culture (Pudjiastuti & Fadhal, 2012).

Affirm image institutional that matter are also caused by causative factors low quality service public in Indonesia, including:

a. Context monopolistic, that is that No There is competition from organizer service public, no There is strong motivation For increase amount, quality and equity service.

b. Pressure from environment, that is factor it really affects quality performance organization service in transactions and interactions with environment nor organization public.

c. Patrimonial culture, that is culture still organization Lots bound by traditions politics and culture often No conducive and breaking regulations that have determined (Wiratmaja & Sudana, 2019).

Conception accountable understanding in procedure investigation and investigation For establish suspect status must pushed in holistic framework as well as comprehensive, no understood in terms of its nature raw and partial ( half-half ). System Justice criminal is a process carried out by the state through device Police, Prosecutors and Courts against those who violate it law criminal. According to Herbert L. Packer system Justice criminal is cumulative integrated criminal system ( one unity ) so He introduce There are 2 (two) models, viz crime control model and due process model (Nasution & Lakshana, 2022).

The role of the investigator in enforce law should too attached to the concept system Justice criminal so can protected from group of people or groups that are arrogant, powerful, discriminatory, and repressive. So from it, Author pouring idea intelligent For enforce commitment Enforcement law POLRI so principle professionalism and credibility as investigators and investigators in determine the status of the suspect who remains in the corridor law material and formal as well as Be careful moment establish suspect status with put forward principle presumption not guilt ( presumption of innocence ) especially Again there is principle fall authority implementation criminal ( net strafbar is hiej ).

Idea intelligent furthermore is so that POLRI can edify return Public Trust in idea Reformulation, Reorientation, and Reactualization with objective direct image positive real institution based on Pancasila, the 1945 Constitution, Law no. 1 of 1946 concerning the Criminal Code ( KUHP ), Law
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no. 8 of 1981 concerning the Criminal Procedure Code (KUHAP), Law no. 2 of 2002 concerning Police, Law The Chief of Police and his descendants.

RESEARCH METHODS

Writer dissect study This with Approach Legislation (Statute approach) and approaches conceptual (conceptual approach) that is normative-juridical. Source material author 's law note is primary and secondary sources with data collection through studies bibliography, technique data analysis using descriptive analytical.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Investigative Efforts in Establishing the Status of Suspect Victims Who Have Died

Investigation is series action investigator in thing and according arranged way in Constitution This For look for as well as gather that evidence with proof That make bright about follow crime that occurred and use find the suspect (Soerodibroto, 1999) . Before do investigation, then must done especially formerly investigation in accordance principles of criminal procedure, that is with do investigation from something suspected event (locus and tempus). happen follow criminal. Then it's worth it We differentiate between effort investigation and investigation, investigation determined from incident follow crime (locus and tempus delicti), whereas investigation is the process of finding tool evidence and goods proof at least two tools valid proof in case a. Information witness; b. statement expert; c. Letter; d. Instructions; e. information defendant.

Suspect in Law No. 8 of 1981 (KUHAP) interpreted that someone because his deeds or the circumstances based on proof start proper suspected as perpetrator follow criminal (Wiguna et al., 2020). In the process of determining become suspect Of course through a long process, no as well as immediately set become suspect (except caught hands) (Edwar et al., 2019).

Understanding reporter based on Constitution number 31 of 2014 concerning protection witnesses and victims (LPSK) are people who give reports, information, or information to enforcer law about follow penal will, is or has happened. Whereas the meaning of the victim is appropriate the laws of the aquo are those who experience suffering mental and physical loss economy as a result follow criminal, people who died in context accident Then can cross termed as a victim based Constitution about protection witnesses and victims.

In the law book law criminal has arrange that right everyone becomes fall If He died at the time proceeding of the case criminal. Based on regulation Police chief Number 15 of 2013 concerning procedures handling accident Then cross Article 74 letter e regulates that termination investigation carried out by investigators to subject law, like rapporteur, prosecutor, prosecutor
common and suspect or adviser law, as a result for people who have died inside context accident Then cross implication only to complainants, prosecutors prosecutor common and suspect or adviser the law.

Mutatis mutandis exists addition subject law like 'witness or victim or reported' as condition termination title case investigation If proof start and enough proof If No can fulfilled. Reflecting on the HA case in a hurry police in case Polres determine and publish the status of the suspect his Of course describe image institutional Full police intervention (partisanship uniforms) and patrimonial culture that is still bound by traditions political in a manner product law, performance, resources Power human, structural position, system work and culture working within the police force alone.

The principle of presumption not guilt (presumption of innocence) which is basic must put forward in legal proceedings, because principle This No only principle in a fundamental sense only but also basic in a deep practical sense must also be implemented according to das solleniya come to a verdict court strength law fixed (inkracht). So, authority from POLRI to gather proof start and enough proof in accordance article 1 paragraph 21 and 22 of the Regulations Head Indonesian National Police (PERKAPOLRI) No. 14 of 2012 concerning Management investigation follow criminal that “Initial Evidence is tool proof form report police and one (1) tool valid proof used For suspect that somebody has do follow criminal to get done arrest,” meanwhile “Enough Evidence is report police and two (2) tools valid evidence to get done detention.”

Rule written in Police stated in PERKAPOLRI a quo regulates about management investigation in a manner specific and technical, definitions from management investigation is series activity investigation as planning, organizing, implementing, supervising and controlling in matter follow criminal.

Components the will done A administration investigation as method administration and completeness For need recording, reporting, data collection and archiving For ensure order, smoothness, and uniformity administration for the sake of interest judiciary, operational nor supervision. Inside Article 4 PERKAPOLRI a quo explained about base investigation, namely a. Report police; b. warrant task; c. Report results investigation (LHP); d. warrant investigation; e. Notification Letter Commencement Investigation (SPDP).

The link in HA cases, when the Resort Police or Polres do title case, in fact HA status instead made as suspect or perpetrator, in perspective professionalism institution enforcer law This is A prohibition for investigator or investigator in set that status. How Can Polres related issue suspect status to those who have believed dead Not yet Of course is culprit or suspect even No based on evidence, obviously action like This injure heart feeling victim’s family.

How Can Police issue suspect status to people who have believed dead No Can give statements, testimonies and confessions to investigator. When referring
to evidence law criminal Of course must listed proof proof start / or sufficient evidence / or at least 2 tools proof to get categorized as as suspect / or perpetrator. Based on Article 1 paragraph 5 of the regulations Police chief Number 15 of 2013 concerning handling accident Then cross is series activities carried out by officers Police in the field Then cross that has happen accident Then cross on the road covering activity came to the scene of the crime with quick help victims, do action first at the crime scene, processing the crime scene, setting up smoothness current Then cross secure goods proof, and do investigation accident Then cross so that strengthened in Constitution number 22 of 2009 article 227 concerning procedures handling accident Then cross.

Details from handling accident Then cross, among others: first activity came to the scene of the crime with objective prepare required vehicles and equipment while at the crime scene, second gift help First done with objective save victim's soul with method give maintenance medical and/ or bring accident victim Then across service units health closest, third action First done with objective For guard crime scene integrity with put tool security as prescribed and prohibited party that doesn't interested enter the crime scene.

Fourth crime scene investigation is carried out with objective seek and collect information instruction goods proof, identity suspects and witnesses or victim looking connection between witness or victims, suspects and goods proof To use obtain description reason happening accident Then cross, fifth arrangement smoothness current Then traffic at the crime scene was carried out with objective guard security safety order and smoothness current Then cross on location around the crime scene and to normalize current Then cross after done crime scene processing, sixth security goods proof done with objective guard integrity to remain guaranteed quantity and or quality.

Remember fall authority implementation criminal as contained in the Criminal Code article 77 which reads authority demand criminal remove If the accused died and so did the contents Article 83 reads " Authority operate penalty delete if convict died," he added Again in Law no. 1 of 2023 concerning the new Criminal Code Article 132 paragraph 1 letter b reads suspect or defendant died because authority prosecution stated fallen, strengthened in article 140 classified authority implementation criminal fall if : a. the convict died ; b. expired ; c. Convict get clemency or amnesty, or submission For implementation criminal to another country ( extradition ).

Leave from understanding formation regulation legislation Article 5 Law No. 12 of 2011 that formation good rule, if produce a. clarity purpose ; b. institutional or official proper shaper ; c. suitability between types, hierarchies, and materials payload ; d. can implemented ; e. usability and effectiveness
f. clarity formula; and g. openness. then, in every making regulation legislation types and hierarchies must according to article 7 paragraph 1 of the aquo law. In each making regulation must absorb rule Source Pancasila law and rules Philosophy 1945 Constitution.

Good rules will produce types, hierarchies, and materials good payload too, deep Article 7 paragraph 2 of the Law aquo that strength law regulations legislation in accordance with hierarchy article 7 paragraph 1. Balance, harmony and harmony material payload chapter, paragraph even provision general or editorial must have Spirit certainty and order law with clarity appropriate formulation and goals with types, hierarchies and materials cargo. If Terms general or editorial Suspects, Victims and People who died each other contradictory (contradictory) and not clear the formula between regulation highest with lowest, between regulation Specific with general, and regulations new long then will raises confusion, uncertainty, as well he said understanding and application law.


Importance certainty and compatibility provision general or editorial what we can be certain of is will influence position law who is the suspect, victim or person who died. Regulations and decisions of institutions that are not based on law material and formal that has been arranged on a more hierarchical high, of course will manifest Rules and decisions are 'defective' either material payload nor procedure technical event.

Certainty The Paradigm Between the Status of Suspects, Victims, People Who Die in Traffic Accidents

That order Constitution number 1 of 1946 (KUHP) adheres to principle legality so that produce principles including lex certa (clear), lex stricta (orderly), lex scripta (written), and lex praevia (non-retroactive) if trained beeps Nullum delictum nulla poena sine praevia lege poenali. Regulation actually aim For give certainty (rechtssicherheit), justice (gerechtigkeit) and usefulness (zweckmässigkeit) as conveyed by Gustav Radbruch about theory enforcement law.

According to Andi Hamzah where state that principle legality as shield For prevent ruler do arbitrary act to the people outside than Constitution (Hamzah, 2012).

Based on the Criminal Code and the Criminal Procedure Code that If somebody defendant died before There is decision final
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from court so right demands from prosecutor general will fall, and if in the process of investigation and/or investigation then the process must terminated by law (Riyadi, 2022). A number of factor birth decision termination investigation caused Because courage investigator after make an effort maximum To use disclose incident criminal.

However of course investigated event No deed follow criminal or No Enough proof or discontinued for the sake of interest law, because request from reporter in a manner aware that reported events No can next inquiry, request reported, and caused relatively long time the inspection as well as felt bother privacy in the middle boisterous public To use get certainty law (Krisnalita & Wigrhalia, 2020).

Article 77 of the Criminal Procedure Code paragraph B regulates related change loss and/or rehabilitation for someone who matters the crime terminated at the level investigation or prosecution, that is change loss and or rehabilitation is effort given law after done termination investigation although context this is what you want be delivered in the article aquo is scope pretrial state court. However, can we underline related element change loss and/or rehabilitation For recover in question moment done termination investigation by the Court Judge.

Article 140 KUHAP paragraph 2 letter a only explain termination prosecution Because No own Enough proof or events that weren't is follow criminal so prosecutor general decide For stop prosecution for the sake of law as outlined in the Decree. However in context This is a process of inquiry and investigation letter termination investigation related to people who died as a result accident Then cross only issued by the Police Good level police regional (polda) as well police (police resort) with legal reasons like died, expired, and ne be is idem.

Police in emit letter order commencement investigation in accordance decision number 130/PUU-XII/2015 dated 1 January 2017 which gave order to investigator For must notify and submit letter order commencement investigation to prosecutor general, victim or reporter, and reported in no later than 7 days after issuance of an Order investigation (Putri, 2022). In the verdict This element the subject consists from prosecutor general, victim or the reporter and the reported, so No There is editorial status of suspects or perpetrator evil inside decision the.

Oddly enough, deep Article 109 of the Criminal Procedure Code paragraph 2 which explains If No there is Enough proof or incident that's what it turned out to be No is follow criminal, then investigation terminated for the sake of law and investigators tell to prosecutor General, suspect or his family, in fact editorial here only mention prosecutor common and suspect or his family, no There is editorial reported party, victim or person who has died in the termination process investigation.
for people who died as a result accident Then cross

Classification in Article 229 paragraph 1 Law 22 of 2009 concerning LLAJ regarding accident Then cross shared become three (3), including a. accident Then cross light, b. accident Then cross medium, c. accident Then cross heavy, connect in paragraph 5 that explains element accident Then cross caused due to such ' negligence ' negligence user, path unworthiness vehicle, and ineligible way and or environment.

Legal logic If We correlate with Article 310 of the law Number 22 of 2009 that everyone driving vehicle motor which due to his ' negligence ' resulted accident Then cross with other people died in prison with criminal maximum imprisonment of 6 years and/ or objects maximum 12 million rupiah.

Even the more complicated through Regulation Police chief Number 15 of 2013 concerning handling accident Then cross in case Article 73 paragraph 2 regulates termination investigation accident Then cross with legal reasons generated element of ' suspect ' died ' which was strengthened in article 74 that letter announcement termination investigation by investigators given to rapporteur, prosecutor prosecutor common and suspect or advisor law, enforced in article 75 paragraph 3 that letter announcement termination investigation given period no later than 2 days to the suspect, his family and the prosecution general.

The relationship between the HA case and correlation Article 310 of the LLAJ Law does not maybe someone who has sentenced to death prison and/ or imposed fines, even in the aquo article due to accident Then cross precisely said as 'the person who died ', not ' the perpetrator ' especially suspect '. Should understanding precision in investigation and investigation by the Police related case HA is faced with an order Article 312 Law aquo which means to everyone that with on purpose No stop the vehicle, no give help or No report accident Then cross convicted maximum imprisonment of 3 years or a fine of 75 million rupiah.

In the context of people dying, then given restitution to the victim's family which is expert victim's heirs accordingly Article 7A of the law Number 31 of 2014 concerning LPSK. Restitution in accordance chapter 1 verse 11 meaning change given loss to the victim or his family by the offender or party third. Principle law criminal related termination investigation moment his death suspect is burden not quite enough answer somebody only overwritten to perpetrator follow the penalty, responsibility answer the No can diverted to expert his heir so that investigation and examination delete according to legal and not can diverted to expert his heir (Rumondor, 2017) . However in HA case, if the HA family accepts restitution or road peace so position law the HA family is growing confirm as a victim, reported or people who died, no Perpetrator especially Suspect.

Procedure case termination investigation of people who died as a result accident Then cross the more complicated
that happen Because inconsistency paradigm, norm, understanding and editorial or word terms. Order in the Criminal Code that people who die will erased authority operate criminal or fall, Command in the Criminal Procedure Code through decision number 130/PUU-XII/2015 in commencement investigation involve prosecutor general, victim or reporter and reported.

Order in Article 109 of the Criminal Procedure Code paragraph 2 that termination investigation involve prosecutor General, suspect or his family. Order in Constitution Well, people who die are called people who died, right ? perpetrator especially suspect. Order in police chief about handling accident Then cross only involve rapporteur, prosecutor prosecutor public and suspects or advisor the law. As a result, earn paradigm that is not uniform to be each other clash Good in dimensions substance law, structure law and culture law.

precision value in appropriate inquiries and investigations done with full caution in determines the status of the subject law case criminal so that proportional in regulation highest nor regulation lowest, proportionally in regulation Specific nor general rules, and proportional in new regulations nor old. The police should too put forward draft technical investigation For prove in a manner scientific (scientific Crime investigation) accordingly Article 34 Police Chief Regulation No. 6 of 2019. The police must also put forward principle presumption not guilty in PERKAPOLRI No. 15 of 2013 concerning Handling Traffic Accidents, the Police also have to adjust and harmonize paradigms and norms in Perkapolri a quo use unite understanding law Good material nor formal.

Order caution in fact has poured in Article 16 paragraph 2 of the law Number 2 of 2002 concerning condition action investigation and investigation held If fulfil element, first which is not contrary with something rule law, Second aligned with obligation the law requires action the done, Third must proper enter sense and included in scope position, Fourth worthy consideration based on forceful circumstances, Fifth honor right basic human, that is procedure investigations and investigations carried out must with worthiness for the sake of principle certainty, fairness and expediency. Presence certainty law Of course related with substance law that has There is Good the so-called crime in law ( mala prohibita ) or not mentioned in Constitution or law written ( crima extra ordinaria ).

Constitution actually give norm definite law to perpetrator life, if regulations each other contrary so will raises confusion application law, confusion this also happens Because many mutually exclusive articles overlapping overlapping, many article that has norm interpretation unilaterally, many article in the regulations the lowest ( inferiori
which is not regular with regulation highest (superiori), many editorial article No correlated One each other, a lot even article give birth to uncertainty law for system law, enforcement law and subject law so that often very trapped in trap law.

Enforcer law in matter This police must focus on truth material, principle legality, and principles certainty law in every actions and horns. Because institution Police own full authority in investigation and investigation so that must precise, credible and reliable be held accountable. Essence enforcement law only Can done If existing regulations each other relevant, and correlated with definite values, norms, paradigms and interpretations. Even enforcement law must run in a manner independent, independent, clean, authoritative and free from power anywhere.

Image of a organization, institution or institution in case institution the police can too applied to the fifth component image the, as well factor low quality service downstream public will influential to level trust as well as satisfaction public. Authority Police in effort investigation and investigation as enforcer law must based on principles handling case Police, among others principle legality, certainty law interest general professional, proportional, procedural, transparent, accountable, effective and efficient, integrated, and principled credibility.

Appreciate step The police, whose status as a suspect HA was revoked by Polda Metro Jaya (PMJ) so PMJ acknowledged has happen guess discrepancy procedure in the process of handling case until determination HA suspects found by the team Assistance formed by Kapolda Metro Jaya, and carried out hearing code ethics to investigator who determines the status of the suspect to HA. Assistance Team This confess has happen violation procedure administration as poured in PERKAPOLRI No. 6 of 2019. Firm steps and institutional moral accountability The police do n't view feathers that are oriented towards certainty, fairness and usefulness actually will give birth to trust public to duties, principal, function and authority of POLRI.

In every search process truth material and formal, emphasis to tool evidence and goods proof used as burden proof To use measure validity, and scientific, each investigations apparatus enforcer law put forward principle proof that in context Police called as proof scientific or scientific Crime investigation. this method used with multi disciplinary approach knowledge knowledge To use reveal case that happened, then in all investigative processes Police obliged uphold tall principle presumption not guilty, respecting human rights, prioritizing proof scientific, avoid ways repressive, no give pressure recognition, and attention side empathy (Sativa, 2021).

That in law about proof often very We know principle Unus testis Nulus Testis conforms Article 185 paragraph 2 of the Criminal Procedure Code so that position the suspect has at least 2 (two) tools valid proof under the Criminal Procedure Code, What is
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...he listen, what is he see, and what he experience Alone is information witness in on-site events Incident Case (TKP). In proof effort deepening and digging more Far is technique find the real truth to get provide a sense of certainty, fairness and usefulness for everyone. Proof also aims to achieve clear evidence bright, objective, and valid accordingly draft procedure law (Due Process Of Law).

Good rules and decisions Of course will appropriate with rules and decisions highest in accordance hierarchy legislation with type material payload, and norms compatible and harmonious laws. disability law sourced from regulations and decrees are not potentially valid give birth to violation right basic human, no in accordance with constitution or unconstitutional as a result of a legal process that does not fair and based procedure, result process non-compliance No rules through mechanism formation regulation valid legislation.

In context provision general or editorial, things like this is even will form accident juridical consequence flawed rules and decisions law. As a result rules and decisions only will blur dimensions philosophy, principles, norms and theories law in understanding, application, and position the law (legal standing). Consistency dimensions that should be formulated, oriented, and actualized in all task principal, function and authority Police. So that the principle of certainty, fairness and usefulness can felt to all perpetrator life, society can feel great faith and trust to enforcer law.

So, writer hold on adhere to universal philosophy, principles, norms and legal theory importance harmony and harmony paradigm, norm, understanding, editorial or inner term every corresponding regulations, so must clear interpreted and accompanied addition renewal regarding position law in handling accident Then cross or incident other relevant. Addition the norm i.e., for example, no There is proof, no Enough evidence, or He is a victim, then must use position law as "Reporter / reported, victim (if victim), or person who died (if died.)."

CONCLUSION

Based on research entitled professionalism investigator moment set the alleged victim died in accident Then cross still prioritize tools proof as principle valid proof in the process of inquiry and investigation with objective open veil of character bright bright, objective, and valid. investigator action to people who haven't Of course is suspect must avoid, because If done precisely will remove draft certainty law and unprofessionalism in do deepening and digging so that must based on tools evidence and goods evidence of at least 2 (two) with condition Enough evidence.

priority principle legality, principle presumption not guilty, principle truth material, the principle of human rights
Human, basic certainty justice, principle usefulness, principle professionalism, principle proportional principle procedural principle transparency, principle accountable, principle effective and efficient, principle integrated, fundamental credibility, and principles formation regulation good legislation with harmony types, hierarchies, and materials payload norm law. Arrangement about paradigm, norm, understanding, and editorial or terms must be synchronized and harmonized in a manner consistent through in a manner theory (das sollen) and theoretically practice (das sein), moreover again so no raises disability law Good material nor formal.

With so, First needed effort synchronization-harmonization, perigidan, and renewal law on matter payload Mutual rules hooks To use aligned in paradigm, norm, understanding, and editorial or term. Second, it is necessary optimization, acceleration and renewal from duties, principals, functions and authorities of POLRI constitutional, professional, credible, humane, and equal in advance law (equality before the law). this aim to be grounded trust and satisfaction public against POLRI as institution Law Enforcement in handling investigation and investigation through consistent rules and decisions, implementation humane, competent, mastery investigations and investigations method think in law, curriculum, updated teaching materials, as well as meaningful Education-Training (Training),
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